A Discussion on TrueFi and DeFi Lending

Samim Ghamami

NYU & SOFR Academy & UC Berkeley

Meta Research - Facebook- Novi Economics

February 7, 2022

ヨト くヨトー

æ

1 / 11

 TrueFi is the protocol for uncollateralized lending launched by TrustToken in November 2020. The goal of TrueFi is to bring uncollateralized lending to decentralized finance (DeFi).

• • = •

- TrueFi is the protocol for uncollateralized lending launched by TrustToken in November 2020. The goal of TrueFi is to bring uncollateralized lending to decentralized finance (DeFi).
- TrustToken has developed a protocol (TrueFi) for: (i) vetting borrowers who would request loans denominated in TrueUSD (TUSD); (ii) assessing the creditworthiness of loans by "TRU Stakers"; and (iii) pooling TrueUSD lenders and offering them interest income and potential "TRU incentives" on stablecoins loaned on the protocol.

注入 (注入)

- TrueFi is the protocol for uncollateralized lending launched by TrustToken in November 2020. The goal of TrueFi is to bring uncollateralized lending to decentralized finance (DeFi).
- TrustToken has developed a protocol (TrueFi) for: (i) vetting borrowers who would request loans denominated in TrueUSD (TUSD); (ii) assessing the creditworthiness of loans by "TRU Stakers"; and (iii) pooling TrueUSD lenders and offering them interest income and potential "TRU incentives" on stablecoins loaned on the protocol.
- TrueFi loans have been so far made to crypto hedge funds and trading firms, e.g. Alameda Research, Nibbio, Amber Group, and Wintermute Trading – loan origination has been around \$200 million and no defaults have been reported. These loans have generated around \$1 million for lenders and stakers in the form of origination fees and interest income, with a median APY of 14% and average terms of 49 days.

2 / 11

- TrueFi is the protocol for uncollateralized lending launched by TrustToken in November 2020. The goal of TrueFi is to bring uncollateralized lending to decentralized finance (DeFi).
- TrustToken has developed a protocol (TrueFi) for: (i) vetting borrowers who would request loans denominated in TrueUSD (TUSD); (ii) assessing the creditworthiness of loans by "TRU Stakers"; and (iii) pooling TrueUSD lenders and offering them interest income and potential "TRU incentives" on stablecoins loaned on the protocol.
- TrueFi loans have been so far made to crypto hedge funds and trading firms, e.g. Alameda Research, Nibbio, Amber Group, and Wintermute Trading – loan origination has been around \$200 million and no defaults have been reported. These loans have generated around \$1 million for lenders and stakers in the form of origination fees and interest income, with a median APY of 14% and average terms of 49 days.

2 / 11

TrueFi



FIGURE: A schematic diagram on how TrueFi works. Source: TrustToken.com (2020).

크

イロト イヨト イヨト イヨト

TRU AND LENDING POOL TOKENS

 TrueFi currently supports borrowing in three stable coins: USDCoin (USDC), Tether (USDT), and TrueUSD (TUSD).
More digital and non-stable assets may be added in the future.

프 🖌 🛪 프 🛌

TRU AND LENDING POOL TOKENS

- TrueFi currently supports borrowing in three stable coins: USDCoin (USDC), Tether (USDT), and TrueUSD (TUSD). More digital and non-stable assets may be added in the future.
- TRU is TrueFi's utility token. TRU can be purchased on different centralized and decentralized exchanges. It plays an important role in the operation and direction of the platform. It can be used for "Staking on TrueFi", for allowing new loans to be approved or rejected and for providing some protection to lenders in case of defaults. It can also be used for TrueFi's governance.

프 🖌 🔺 프 🕨

TRU and Lending Pool Tokens

- TrueFi currently supports borrowing in three stable coins: USDCoin (USDC), Tether (USDT), and TrueUSD (TUSD).
 More digital and non-stable assets may be added in the future.
- TRU is TrueFi's utility token. TRU can be purchased on different centralized and decentralized exchanges. It plays an important role in the operation and direction of the platform. It can be used for "Staking on TrueFi", for allowing new loans to be approved or rejected and for providing some protection to lenders in case of defaults. It can also be used for TrueFi's governance.
- Lending pool tokens, tfUSDC, tfUSDT, tfTUSD, are tradeable tokens that represent a lender's claim to the assets underlying a specific TrueFi pool. They can also be used to "earn TRU". They can be redeemed for the respective asset or the underlying pool holding. Loan token values will not remain equal to the value of the loanable asset as they also reflect the interest income and potential loan losses.

4 / 11

TRU and Lending Pool Tokens

- TrueFi currently supports borrowing in three stable coins: USDCoin (USDC), Tether (USDT), and TrueUSD (TUSD). More digital and non-stable assets may be added in the future.
- TRU is TrueFi's utility token. TRU can be purchased on different centralized and decentralized exchanges. It plays an important role in the operation and direction of the platform. It can be used for "Staking on TrueFi", for allowing new loans to be approved or rejected and for providing some protection to lenders in case of defaults. It can also be used for TrueFi's governance.
- Lending pool tokens, tfUSDC, tfUSDT, tfTUSD, are tradeable tokens that represent a lender's claim to the assets underlying a specific TrueFi pool. They can also be used to "earn TRU". They can be redeemed for the respective asset or the underlying pool holding. Loan token values will not remain equal to the value of the loanable asset as they also reflect the interest income and potential loan losses.

4 / 11

ON-CHAIN GOVERNANCE & RISK MANAGEMENT VIA Staking

Staking is the process of transferring TRU to the protocol's staking contract (stkTRU) to ensure the safety of lenders to some extent. In exchange, the so-called stakers receive some portions of the protocol fee income and additional TRU tokens. Currently, stakers earn 100% of the protocol fees. Protocol fees appear to be 10% of the interest income.

ON-CHAIN GOVERNANCE & RISK MANAGEMENT VIA STAKING

- Staking is the process of transferring TRU to the protocol's staking contract (stkTRU) to ensure the safety of lenders to some extent. In exchange, the so-called stakers receive some portions of the protocol fee income and additional TRU tokens. Currently, stakers earn 100% of the protocol fees. Protocol fees appear to be 10% of the interest income.
- Stakers (stkTRU holders) vote on loan proposals/approvals and participate in all TrueFi governance activities. For voting on loan applications stkTRU holders would receive rewards in the form of TRU tokens.

ON-CHAIN GOVERNANCE & RISK MANAGEMENT VIA STAKING

- Staking is the process of transferring TRU to the protocol's staking contract (stkTRU) to ensure the safety of lenders to some extent. In exchange, the so-called stakers receive some portions of the protocol fee income and additional TRU tokens. Currently, stakers earn 100% of the protocol fees. Protocol fees appear to be 10% of the interest income.
- Stakers (stkTRU holders) vote on loan proposals/approvals and participate in all TrueFi governance activities. For voting on loan applications stkTRU holders would receive rewards in the form of TRU tokens.
- TrustToken relies on TRU staking to risk manage the TrueFi lending pools. In case of a default in the lending pool, a certain percentage of the TRU staked (*Maximum Liquidation Rate*) will be liquidated and transferred to the lending pool.

<ロ> <四> <四> <三> <三> <三> <三> <三> <三> <三> <三

TRUEFI CREDIT MODEL

In 2020, TrueFi did not rely on any specific credit models for the approval and selection process of borrowers. To scale the loan provision, it seems that TrustToken has planned to develop a credit model using both on-chain and off-chain data.

Image: A (1) = (1)

TRUEFI CREDIT MODEL

- In 2020, TrueFi did not rely on any specific credit models for the approval and selection process of borrowers. To scale the loan provision, it seems that TrustToken has planned to develop a credit model using both on-chain and off-chain data.
- It appears that the most recent version of TrueFi (TrueFi V3) relies on input from the credit model that quantifies and evaluates the creditworthiness of potential borrowers.

TRUEFI CREDIT MODEL

- In 2020, TrueFi did not rely on any specific credit models for the approval and selection process of borrowers. To scale the loan provision, it seems that TrustToken has planned to develop a credit model using both on-chain and off-chain data.
- It appears that the most recent version of TrueFi (TrueFi V3) relies on input from the credit model that quantifies and evaluates the creditworthiness of potential borrowers.
- According to TrustToken, "the grand vision for TrueFi credit is a composable and decentralized gauge of creditworthiness ... to bring nuance to crypto finance across protocols."

< 注→ < 注→ -

DEFI LENDING: POTENTIAL BENEFITS

DeFi protocols aim to disintermediate finance. The value of digital assets locked into DeFi services grew from less than \$1 billion in 2019 to over \$80 billion in May 2021. See Wharton and World Economic Forum - DeFi Beyond the Hype (2021) and the references therein.

DEFI LENDING: POTENTIAL BENEFITS

- DeFi protocols aim to disintermediate finance. The value of digital assets locked into DeFi services grew from less than \$1 billion in 2019 to over \$80 billion in May 2021. See Wharton and World Economic Forum - DeFi Beyond the Hype (2021) and the references therein.
- DeFi lending has the potential to increase efficiency, transparency, innovation, and financial inclusion in lending markets.

ヨト・モート

DEFI LENDING: POTENTIAL BENEFITS

- DeFi protocols aim to disintermediate finance. The value of digital assets locked into DeFi services grew from less than \$1 billion in 2019 to over \$80 billion in May 2021. See Wharton and World Economic Forum - DeFi Beyond the Hype (2021) and the references therein.
- DeFi lending has the potential to increase efficiency, transparency, innovation, and financial inclusion in lending markets.
- DeFi lending protocols facilitate the matching of borrowers and lenders - they create markets for loanable funds. These protocols are not functionally equivalent to banks. Banks provide financing through money creation (Jakab and Kumhof (2015)). DeFi lending protocols can complement (and not replace) banks and the traditional bank credit provision.

CENTRALIZED VS DECENTRALIZED GOVERNANCE

It is well-known from contract theory (Hart (2017) and Bolton and Dewatripont (2004)) that since in practice, contracts cannot fully specify what needs to be done in all possible contingencies, firms may need to choose (ex ante) some form of suitable ownership structure. Centralization may allow firms to mitigate some of the consequences of *contract incompleteness*.

CENTRALIZED VS DECENTRALIZED GOVERNANCE

- It is well-known from contract theory (Hart (2017) and Bolton and Dewatripont (2004)) that since in practice, contracts cannot fully specify what needs to be done in all possible contingencies, firms may need to choose (ex ante) some form of suitable ownership structure. Centralization may allow firms to mitigate some of the consequences of *contract incompleteness*.
- A fully decentralized governance structure may never be materialized in practice.

注入 不注入 …

- It is well-known from contract theory (Hart (2017) and Bolton and Dewatripont (2004)) that since in practice, contracts cannot fully specify what needs to be done in all possible contingencies, firms may need to choose (ex ante) some form of suitable ownership structure. Centralization may allow firms to mitigate some of the consequences of *contract incompleteness*.
- A fully decentralized governance structure may never be materialized in practice.
- DeFi protocols may ultimately lead to concentration of decision/economic power. See the U.S. official sector 2021 Report on Stablecoins.

< 注 → < 注 → …

 Given TrueFi's existing list of borrowers, it seems that the uncollateralized lending protocol is currently being used to support speculation and arbitrage across multiple crypto assets.

ヨト くヨトー

- Given TrueFi's existing list of borrowers, it seems that the uncollateralized lending protocol is currently being used to support speculation and arbitrage across multiple crypto assets.
- TrueFi borrowers appear to be highly leveraged firms. High leverage exacerbates procyclicality, (Adrian and Shin (2014)). Leverage enables trading firms to purchase more assets for a given amount of capital. In distressed market conditions, investors often need to reduce debt through asset fire sales. This procyclicality could be particularly pronounced for markets during their early stages of development.

- Given TrueFi's existing list of borrowers, it seems that the uncollateralized lending protocol is currently being used to support speculation and arbitrage across multiple crypto assets.
- TrueFi borrowers appear to be highly leveraged firms. High leverage exacerbates procyclicality, (Adrian and Shin (2014)). Leverage enables trading firms to purchase more assets for a given amount of capital. In distressed market conditions, investors often need to reduce debt through asset fire sales. This procyclicality could be particularly pronounced for markets during their early stages of development.
- Unlike bank deposit insurance and bank capital and liquidity regulation, shock absorbing mechanisms do not exist (or are weak) in DeFi. If stablecoins do not perform as expected, we could have "stablecoin runs". Procyclical leverage and stablecoin run risk in the absence of solid shock absorbers could increase risks to financial stability.

Recent research indicates that collateral requirements may lead to firms' inefficient allocation of assets, and overcollateralization may increase risks to financial stability, (Ghamami, Glasserman, and Young (2021)).

< 臣 > < 臣 > □

- Recent research indicates that collateral requirements may lead to firms' inefficient allocation of assets, and overcollateralization may increase risks to financial stability, (Ghamami, Glasserman, and Young (2021)).
- However, in the case of TrueFi, the TRU staking-based risk management and credit monitoring and assessment mechanisms may not be sufficiently robust and reliable to conduct large scale uncollateralized lending.

< 注入 < 注入 −

- Recent research indicates that collateral requirements may lead to firms' inefficient allocation of assets, and overcollateralization may increase risks to financial stability, (Ghamami, Glasserman, and Young (2021)).
- However, in the case of TrueFi, the TRU staking-based risk management and credit monitoring and assessment mechanisms may not be sufficiently robust and reliable to conduct large scale uncollateralized lending.
- TrueFi's reliance on a specific credit model creates model risk. Model risk management does not appear to be considered/well-defined by the uncollaterlized lending protocol.

- (注) - (注) - (注) - (二) - (-)

- Recent research indicates that collateral requirements may lead to firms' inefficient allocation of assets, and overcollateralization may increase risks to financial stability, (Ghamami, Glasserman, and Young (2021)).
- However, in the case of TrueFi, the TRU staking-based risk management and credit monitoring and assessment mechanisms may not be sufficiently robust and reliable to conduct large scale uncollateralized lending.
- TrueFi's reliance on a specific credit model creates model risk. Model risk management does not appear to be considered/well-defined by the uncollaterlized lending protocol.
- Also, as noted in the U.S. official sector 2021 Report on Stablecoins, operational risk is of critical importance in DeFi. It is not clear how TrustToken is planning to manage the operational risk associated with the uncollateralized lending protocol.

10 / 11

Concluding Remarks

DeFi has the potential to increase financial inclusion, efficiency, and transparency. DeFi credit provision can complement conventional bank credit provision. DeFi lending, however, has mostly supported speculation and arbitrage trading in crypto markets.

프 🖌 🛪 프 🛌

Concluding Remarks

- DeFi has the potential to increase financial inclusion, efficiency, and transparency. DeFi credit provision can complement conventional bank credit provision. DeFi lending, however, has mostly supported speculation and arbitrage trading in crypto markets.
- Overcollateralization has been a key feature of DeFi lending markets. Exceptions (to my knowledge) have been *flash loans* and the TrueFi uncollateralied lending protocol.
 Overcollateralization has been in place in part due to the fact that DeFi lending protocols do not have the shock absorbers present in the banking system.

< 注入 < 注入 -

Concluding Remarks

- DeFi has the potential to increase financial inclusion, efficiency, and transparency. DeFi credit provision can complement conventional bank credit provision. DeFi lending, however, has mostly supported speculation and arbitrage trading in crypto markets.
- Overcollateralization has been a key feature of DeFi lending markets. Exceptions (to my knowledge) have been *flash loans* and the TrueFi uncollateralied lending protocol.
 Overcollateralization has been in place in part due to the fact that DeFi lending protocols do not have the shock absorbers present in the banking system.
- The TrueFi's incentives-based loss absorbing mechanism and the model-based credit assessment approach along with the incentives-based and (at least) partially decentralized governance structure may not qualify the protocol for large scale uncollateralized lending, particularly when/if non-stablecoins would be added to the current lending pool.